



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

defects, the book contains a statement of the law on the subject in more concise form than is to be found in any other work of the same scope; and besides its value as a book for students, it should be distinctly useful to practitioners, citing as it does more than 6300 cases. Leading cases are cited in large type, and as the selection seems to be a discriminating one, that feature adds to the book's usefulness.

HENRY M. BATES.

STREET RAILWAY REPORTS, ANNOTATED. Edited by Frank P. Gilbert. Albany: Matthew Bender, 1904. Vol. II, pp. xix, 1051.

In this second volume, a number of faults that were pointed out in this Review (III, p. 171) upon the appearance of the first of these reports have been corrected. Now, the citation to the official reports is given where available. The index has been condensed and the references increased and improved. However, cross-references like "etc.," as under the head of Negligence, are not very definite and should be omitted. Some other changes for the better can also be noticed.

This volume contains more cases than the last one, and the notes are more full and complete. The principal annotations are: Fellow Servant Rule as Applied to Street Railways, Eminent Domain by Street Railways, Imputed Negligence, Municipal Control of Street Railways, What Deemed Street Railways, Horses Frightened by Negligent Operation of Street Car, Opinion Evidence as to Speed, Street Car Transfers, Aged, Infirm and Helpless Passengers, Injury to Alighting Passengers. A feature of these, lacking in other annotated reports, is the citation of text-books, so that not only the opinions of courts are presented, but also the labor of specialists. It would be well when adducing the authority of treatises to give the edition when they have run through more than one. So on p. 394 the quotation from Shearman and Redfield cannot be found in the third edition of their work on Negligence, but is in the fifth edition. The notes are exhaustive in regard to street railway decisions, and should be of value to those engaged in that kind of litigation.

GUSTAV STEIN.

THE UNITED STATES AND THE STATES UNDER THE CONSTITUTION. By C. Stuart Patterson. Second Edition. With Notes and References to Additional Authorities by Robert P. Reeder. Philadelphia: T. & J. W. Johnson & Co., 1904. pp. xli, 347.

In absence of a larger preface than a mere note of acknowledgment, we must look elsewhere for the purpose of the book. It would appear from the title and from the opening paragraphs that an attempt has been made to define and limit the powers of the United States as against the states, and *vice versa*. As stated in the preface to the first edition of this work in 1888, then called Federal Restraints—omitted in this revision for some unknown reason—the object is to show "what the relations of the United States and the states are under the Constitution, as judicially construed by the court of last resort." The author has restricted himself to the decisions of the United States Supreme Court, and even to illustrate certain points has (so far as may be noticed) only twice cited opinions from state tribunals (pp. 125, 131). This

is to be regretted, as it excludes many valuable constitutional decisions of some of the ablest judges in the judicial history of the country, and might have illuminated a number of passages more than references to *Mogul S. S. Co. v. McGregor* (Eng.), Lecky's Democracy and Liberty, Mrs. Green's Town and Country Life in the XV Century, and the Autobiography of Senator Hoar (§ 53). In carrying out his plan the author has discussed the cases under the following heads: The Implied Powers, Taxation, Regulation of Commerce, The Impairment of the Obligation of Contract, Ex-Post Facto Laws and Bills of Attainder, The Prohibition of State Bills of Credit, State Compacts, Fugitives from Justice, The Judicial Power, Rights of Person and of Property, The Federal Supremacy and The Reserved Rights of the State.

As a treatise or law text the book cannot be called a distinct success, and criticism may be made both of the manner of writing and the method of discussion. The work has varied contents. It contains, in addition to the pertinent subjects, economic theories, a panegyric on the Supreme Court, criticism with the most partisan enthusiasm, and similar matter foreign to the nature of a text-book. No particular regard has been paid to style. It consists largely of long paragraphs composed of short briefs of cases loosely fastened together. So § 60 is five pages long; § 117 has eight pages; § 131 contains eleven pages. These are but samples of the extreme lack of rhetorical arrangement. In § 52, the writer, speaking of the state police power, commences twenty-seven sentences by "a state may," and in § 131 there are fifty-three sentences beginning "it may." Constructions of like character abound throughout the book, making most monotonous reading. Except in a few instances there appears to be no co-ordination of ideas. No principles are stated, no rules found around which to group the decisions or which may be used as a guide to future action or opinion. What may or may not be taxed is indiscriminately shoved into one section (p. 45). The whole subject of equal protection of the laws is contained in a long paragraph (§ 131), where every ruling cited appears of equal importance. This lack of ability to give the proper value to matters appears elsewhere. So while the most important decisions are passed over with mere mention, the writer has found space to give us a treatment of the theory of the judicial system at the common law in five pages of elementary discussion. In a book so variously limited, such matter for lawyers is superfluous, and even for others is the repetition of truisms. What the author himself calls the most important case that ever came before the Supreme Court, *Ex parte Milligan*, he passes over in eight lines (§ 111), but he has devoted two pages to a eulogy of the Federal judiciary (§ 104) with which everyone agrees, but which has no apparent logical foothold in this book.

The indeterminate way of hanging together the most far-reaching decisions, contrasts strangely with the elaborate argument on propositions which arouse the combativeness of the author. To the recent and important case of *McCray v. U. S.*, 195 U. S. 27, scant notice is given in a short reference and a passing mention in a footnote (pp. 25, 251), altogether inadequate to its weight and consequence. But to the Insular Cases a different treatment is accorded. Here the writer becomes interested. He has stated his side of the question ably indeed, and the several pages which he has filled with his

arguments (pp. 11, 13, 19) present a valuable brief. However, to one who is concerned in knowing the law as laid down by the majority of the court, this partisan view is of little aid. The writer is too much taken up with establishing his thesis opposing the decision to do full justice to the opinions of the five judges. The material point of the *Lottery Case*, 188 U. S. 321, has been overlooked. The question earnestly discussed whether *regulate* in the Constitution can be extended to mean *prohibit* is not commented upon in the two brief references to the litigation (pp. 64, 119). On the other hand, the discussion of the Anti-Trust Act and *N. S. Co. v. U. S.*, which in a great part rest upon this proposition (see *Lottery Case*, 188 U. S., p. 359; also *N. S. Co. v. U. S.*, 193 U. S., p. 336), takes up about nineteen pages (pp. 114-133). It may be well to examine for a moment to what the author has devoted so much space. At considerable length the writer denies the necessity of a Federal anti-trust law, for the reason that by rules of political economy the trust prices can never become extortionate because "intelligent managers of a successful business" will never advance them to a point where the "large amount of uninvested capital seeking profitable employment" will be invited to compete (p. 117). Aside from the fact that such arguments have no place in the book, experience has disproved the writer repeatedly. It is only necessary to point to the continued depredations of the Beef Trust (see e. g., *State v. Armour Packing Co.*, 173 Mo. 356) and the disclosures made in the recent war on the Standard Oil Company by the State of Kansas to show the fallacy of the theory and to prove again that law moves with experience. Another objection to the Federal act stated in the text is that action by the states is appropriate and efficient. This remedy has been proved inadequate. 2 MICHIGAN LAW REVIEW, 358; 3 *Id.* 264. The author would deny any particular value to legislation which seeks to regulate trade (p. 117), and he would rely more upon the wisdom of those in whom, by reason of organization, oppressive powers of monopoly are lodged than upon the sense and needs of the people as drafted into their laws.

These are some of the objections to the views and results presented by the author. The book is little more than a digest of the decisions, and their import is not always well indicated. The purpose of the book to show the powers of the Federal government and those of the states under the Constitution is only so far attained as a bare enumeration of the decided cases shows them. As for any guiding principles to find proper lines of demarkation of the orbits of these sovereignties, or principles generally, the reader is left to his own resources.

It would not be just, however, to end without calling attention to some of the more valuable features of the work. The notes are very rich and contain nearly all the late cases on the topics covered. As a reference digest the book will have its worth. Occasionally, also, the text embodies an analysis that is striking in its clearness. Such is the one of the decisions under the Anti-Trust Act (pp. 123-129), even if its value is slightly impaired by the bias of the author (pp. 129-133). These efforts, and the fact that the writer can state his own position so lucidly, make it a matter of regret that he has not oftener used that ability to bring out the views of the Court, which, after all, is one of the principal considerations in a law book. GUSTAV STEIN.